Name _		
SI Physi	CS	
Period _		

Date \_\_\_

Lab #16H (105 pts) Mrs. Nadworny

Partners:

Due Date \_\_\_\_

# **Coefficient of Friction**

Lab Write-Up Required use template from website

The objective of this lab is to conduct an experimental procedure using a specific set of steps. Use this sheet ONLY as a guide, for you will be responsible for producing your own lab write-up. Remember, it is a requirement that all components of a lab write-up are typed, except for diagrams and sample calculations, and everything is placed in the correct order. (10 pts)

Everyone must complete their own write up. Submitting the same, or very similar, write ups will not earn full credit.

# **Purpose**

• To determine the coefficient of friction for a wooden block on wood and compare it to the accepted value.

# **Research Question**

• What is the relationship between the normal force and force of kinetic friction?

# Variables

- Independent variable (2 pts) -
- Dependent variable (2 pts) -
- Constants/Control (2 pts) -

Mathematical Model (2 pts)

# Expected Graph (5 pts)

Significance of Slope:

Expected y-intercept:

Hypothesis (2 pts)

# **Procedure**

- Materials: (3 pts) Create a bullet list of all materials that you expect to use.
- Diagram of setup: (3 pts) Draw and label a diagram with all equipment and measurable values.

\_\_\_\_

Note: Do NOT use  $\mu$  from reference tables. The whole point is to determine  $\mu.$ 

•	Procedure: (9 pts)						
Number each step of the							
procedure							

### Data Table (15 pts)

Your units should only be included in the column heading

±	±	±	±	±	±	±

Have your teacher check all previous work before you begin collecting data!

# **Collect Data**

### Data Analysis (5 pts)

• Show one sample of each calculation performed during the lab. Use the GUESS method and proper significant figures.

### Graph (15 pts)

Note: Do NOT graph the negative signs.

- Create a graph of your data.
  - Be sure to follow all of the steps we discussed about graphing, including labeling and drawing a best-fit line. Calculate the slope of the best-fit line for your graph. Show all calculations clearly.
  - The graph needs to be affixed to your lab write-up after the data analysis section and before the graph analysis and conclusion. Part of your neatness grade includes having everything in the correct order.

#### Graph Analysis (5 pts)

- Analyze your graph
  - 1. Using the slope formula, determine the associated physics formula and the physical significance of the graph. State the value of the physical significance.
  - 2. Write the general and specific equations for the best-fit line of the graph.

#### Percent Error (5 pts)

Calculate a percent error between your experimental value determined from the graph and the
accepted value found on the reference tables. Use the GUESS method and proper significant
figures.

#### Conclusion (20 pts)

(Use the following to help with your conclusion. It should be included in your write-up as three paragraphs using full, complete English sentences. Do not number or bullet, write cohesive paragraphs.)

- Paragraph One
  - Restate the purpose/research question of the lab.
  - Summarize the experiment using only one or two sentences. Do NOT restate the entire procedure.
  - o Restate your hypothesis. Does your data support your hypothesis or not?
  - Discuss the results of your lab, including both values for the coefficient of friction, and your percent error.
- Paragraph Two
  - What was the physical significance of the slope of the graph?
  - Write about one idea that was reinforced during the lab or one new concept you learned.

Continued on next page  $\rightarrow$ 

- Paragraph Three
  - Describe one possible source of error in this lab. Identify what the error was. Explain how it happened. Explain how it affected your data collection (did it increase or decrease your mass/applied force). Explain how it affected your overall results (did it increase or decrease your normal force, frictional force, coefficient of friction).
    - Be specific. Simply saying "human error" is not detailed enough. Blaming your graph, calculations, faulty equipment, lack of technology or imprecise tools is also not acceptable. Really think about the data collection portion of the lab and things that may have influenced it, avoid highly improbable events, such as wind gusts or dramatically shifting gravitational fields.
  - Explain how the identified source of error (above) could be reduced in future experiments.